A presentation of the book

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings

by D. Bourn, N. Martins-Ferreira, A. Montoli, and M. Sobral

24 January 2014 Universidade de Coimbra

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Outline

Introduction

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Semirings

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Outline

Introduction

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Semirings

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Introduction

During the last years there has been a great interest in finding a suitable categorical framework to study group-like structures :

- Mal'tsev categories
- protomodular categories
- homological categories
- semi-abelian categories

Some beautiful theories have been developed in these categories : commutators, homology, cohomology, torsion theories, radicals, etc.

These theories have led to a conceptual understanding of parallel results in Grp, Rng, Lie_K, XMod, Grp(Comp).

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Introduction

During the last years there has been a great interest in finding a suitable categorical framework to study group-like structures :

- Mal'tsev categories
- protomodular categories
- homological categories
- semi-abelian categories

Some beautiful theories have been developed in these categories : commutators, homology, cohomology, torsion theories, radicals, etc.

These theories have led to a conceptual understanding of parallel results in Grp, Rng, Lie_K, XMod, Grp(Comp).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Introduction

During the last years there has been a great interest in finding a suitable categorical framework to study group-like structures :

- Mal'tsev categories
- protomodular categories
- homological categories
- semi-abelian categories

Some beautiful theories have been developed in these categories : commutators, homology, cohomology, torsion theories, radicals, etc.

These theories have led to a conceptual understanding of parallel results in Grp, Rng, Lie_K, XMod, Grp(Comp).

Question What can be said about the categorical properties of the category Mon of monoids ?

Although Mon is not a Mal'tsev category, it is a unital category (Bourn, 1996) :

Definition

A finitely complete pointed category C is unital when, given two objects A and B in C, the morphisms $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ in the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{(1_A,0)} A \times B \xleftarrow{(0,1_B)} B$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

are jointly extremal epimorphic.

Question

What can be said about the categorical properties of the category Mon of monoids ?

Although Mon is not a Mal'tsev category, it is a unital category (Bourn, 1996) :

Definition

A finitely complete pointed category C is unital when, given two objects A and B in C, the morphisms $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ in the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{(1_A,0)} A \times B \xleftarrow{(0,1_B)} B$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

are jointly extremal epimorphic.

Question

What can be said about the categorical properties of the category Mon of monoids ?

Although Mon is not a Mal'tsev category, it is a unital category (Bourn, 1996) :

Definition

A finitely complete pointed category C is unital when, given two objects A and B in C, the morphisms $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ in the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{(1_A,0)} A \times B \xleftarrow{(0,1_B)} B$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

are jointly extremal epimorphic.

This means that, given a monomorphism $m: M \to A \times B$



such that $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ factor through *m*

This means that, given a monomorphism $m: M \to A \times B$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

such that $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ factors through *m*,

This means that, given a monomorphism $m: M \to A \times B$



such that $(1_A, 0)$ and $(0, 1_B)$ factors through *m*, then *m* is an iso.

This implies in particular that the arrows

$$A \xrightarrow{(1_A,0)} A \times B \xleftarrow{(0,1_B)} B$$

are jointly epimorphic.

This opens the way to the study of commuting arrows :

given two arrows $a: A \rightarrow C$ and $b: B \rightarrow C$ with the same codomain, there is at most one arrow ϕ making the diagram



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

This implies in particular that the arrows

$$A \xrightarrow{(1_A,0)} A \times B \xleftarrow{(0,1_B)} B$$

are jointly epimorphic.

This opens the way to the study of commuting arrows :

given two arrows $a: A \rightarrow C$ and $b: B \rightarrow C$ with the same codomain, there is at most one arrow ϕ making the diagram



(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

When this is the case,



one says that *a* and *b* commute (in the sense of Huq, 1968).

In the category Mon there is a nice theory of commuting arrows, leading to a commutator theory of subobjects.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

When this is the case,



one says that *a* and *b* commute (in the sense of Huq, 1968).

In the category Mon there is a nice theory of commuting arrows, leading to a commutator theory of subobjects.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Can one develop some other aspects of categorical algebra in Mon?

Is there a structural property of the fibration of points in Mon, as it is the case in the category Grp of groups?

The book Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings gives a positive and very interesting answer!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Can one develop some other aspects of categorical algebra in Mon?

Is there a structural property of the fibration of points in Mon, as it is the case in the category Grp of groups?

The book Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings gives a positive and very interesting answer!

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Can one develop some other aspects of categorical algebra in Mon?

Is there a structural property of the fibration of points in Mon, as it is the case in the category Grp of groups?

The book Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings gives a positive and very interesting answer!

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Outline

Introduction

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Semirings

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Recall that the fibration of points concerns the category $Pt(\mathbb{C})$:

objects : split epimorphisms in C



morphisms : pairs of arrows (f_A, f_B) in C making the diagram



・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Recall that the fibration of points concerns the category $Pt(\mathbb{C})$:

objects : split epimorphisms in C

$$A \xrightarrow{p} B \qquad ps = 1_B$$

• morphisms : pairs of arrows (f_A, f_B) in \mathbb{C} making the diagram



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Recall that the fibration of points concerns the category $Pt(\mathbb{C})$:

objects : split epimorphisms in C

$$A \xrightarrow{p} B \qquad ps = 1_B$$

▶ morphisms : pairs of arrows (f_A, f_B) in \mathbb{C} making the diagram



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

There is a functor $P: Pt(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ associating, with any split epimorphism, its codomain :



This functor $P \colon \mathsf{Pt}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called the fibration of pointed objects.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

There is a functor $P: Pt(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ associating, with any split epimorphism, its codomain :



This functor $P \colon \mathsf{Pt}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called the fibration of pointed objects.

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

There is a functor $P: Pt(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ associating, with any split epimorphism, its codomain :



This functor $P: Pt(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called the fibration of pointed objects.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

One discovery in this book is that, in Mon, one should consider SPt(Mon), the category of "Schreier split epimorphisms in Mon" :

let



be a split epi in Mon, with kernel $k : K \rightarrow A$.

This is a Schreier split epi if, for any $a \in A$, there is a unique $k \in K$ such that

$$a = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{sp}(a).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

One discovery in this book is that, in Mon, one should consider SPt(Mon), the category of "Schreier split epimorphisms in Mon" :

let



be a split epi in Mon, with kernel $k \colon K \to A$.

This is a Schreier split epi if, for any $a \in A$, there is a unique $k \in K$ such that

$$a = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{sp}(a).$$

One discovery in this book is that, in Mon, one should consider SPt(Mon), the category of "Schreier split epimorphisms in Mon" :

let



be a split epi in Mon, with kernel $k \colon K \to A$.

This is a Schreier split epi if, for any $a \in A$, there is a unique $k \in K$ such that

$$a = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{sp}(a).$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Remark Any Schreier split epi in Mon determines a set-theoretic map q

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow[k]{q} A \xrightarrow[k]{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

defined by q(a) = k, for any $a \in A$, where $k \in K$ is such that

 $a = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{sp}(a).$

The map *q* is the Schreier retraction associated with the Schreier split exact sequence.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Remark Any Schreier split epi in Mon determines a set-theoretic map q

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow[k]{q} A \xrightarrow[k]{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

defined by q(a) = k, for any $a \in A$, where $k \in K$ is such that

 $a = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{sp}(a).$

The map *q* is the Schreier retraction associated with the Schreier split exact sequence.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Example

The canonical split epi in Mon given by

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow[(1_A,0)]{\pi_A} A \times B \xrightarrow[(0,1_B)]{\pi_2} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is a Schreier split epi.

Example

Any split epimorphism

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow[k]{q} A \xrightarrow[s]{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

in the category Grp is a Schreier split epi :

indeed, given $a \in A$, choose $q(a) = k = a \cdot sp(a)^{-1} \in K$, and

 $k \cdot sp(a) = (a \cdot sp(a)^{-1}) \cdot sp(a) = a.$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Example

Any split epimorphism

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow[k]{q} A \xrightarrow[k]{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

in the category Grp is a Schreier split epi :

indeed, given $a \in A$, choose $q(a) = k = a \cdot sp(a)^{-1} \in K$, and

$$k \cdot sp(a) = (a \cdot sp(a)^{-1}) \cdot sp(a) = a.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

In the category Mon, the Schreier split epis behave extremely well :

Lemma

Given a Schreier split epimorphism in Mon equipped with its kernel

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{k} B$$

then $p = \operatorname{coker}(k)$:



Remark

This is due to the fact that the pair (k, s) is jointly epimorphic.

・ロト・四ト・モー・ ヨー うへぐ

In the category Mon, the Schreier split epis behave extremely well :

Lemma

Given a Schreier split epimorphism in Mon equipped with its kernel

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{k} B$$

then $p = \operatorname{coker}(k)$:



Remark

This is due to the fact that the pair (k, s) is jointly epimorphic.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

In the category Mon, the Schreier split epis behave extremely well :

Lemma

Given a Schreier split epimorphism in Mon equipped with its kernel

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{k} B$$

then $p = \operatorname{coker}(k)$:



Remark

This is due to the fact that the pair (k, s) is jointly epimorphic.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の < ⊙

Theorem

Given a commutative diagram of Schreier split exact sequences



< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

in Mon, if *u* is an iso then *v* is an iso.

An analogy then appears between the situations in Grp and in Mon :

Groups

For any $f: X \to Y$ in Grp the change-of-base functor

 $f^*: \operatorname{Pt}_Y(\operatorname{Grp}) \to \operatorname{Pt}_X(\operatorname{Grp})$

with respect to the fibration $P: Pt(Grp) \rightarrow Grp$ is conservative.

Monoids For any $f: X \to Y$ in Mon the change-of-base functor

 f^* : SPt_Y(Mon) \rightarrow SPt_X(Mon)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

with respect to the fibration P^S : SPt(Mon) \rightarrow Mon is conservative.

An analogy then appears between the situations in Grp and in Mon :

Groups

For any $f: X \to Y$ in Grp the change-of-base functor

 $f^* \colon \mathsf{Pt}_Y(\mathsf{Grp}) \to \mathsf{Pt}_X(\mathsf{Grp})$

with respect to the fibration $P: Pt(Grp) \rightarrow Grp$ is conservative.

Monoids For any $f: X \to Y$ in **Mon** the change-of-base functor

 $f^*: \operatorname{SPt}_Y(\operatorname{Mon}) \to \operatorname{SPt}_X(\operatorname{Mon})$

with respect to the fibration P^S : SPt(Mon) \rightarrow Mon is conservative.

An analogy then appears between the situations in Grp and in Mon :

Groups

For any $f: X \to Y$ in Grp the change-of-base functor

 $f^* \colon \mathsf{Pt}_Y(\mathsf{Grp}) \to \mathsf{Pt}_X(\mathsf{Grp})$

with respect to the fibration $P: Pt(Grp) \rightarrow Grp$ is conservative.

Monoids

For any $f: X \to Y$ in Mon the change-of-base functor

 f^* : SPt_Y(Mon) \rightarrow SPt_X(Mon)

with respect to the fibration P^S : SPt(Mon) \rightarrow Mon is conservative.

The full subcategory SPt(Mon) of Pt(Mon) determines a subfibration P^{S} of the fibration of points P:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Schreier internal categories (Patchkoria, 1998),
- Schreier internal groupoids,
- Schreier internal relations,
- centralizers of Schreier reflexive relations.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Schreier internal categories (Patchkoria, 1998),

- Schreier internal groupoids,
- Schreier internal relations,
- centralizers of Schreier reflexive relations.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Schreier internal categories (Patchkoria, 1998),
- Schreier internal groupoids,
- Schreier internal relations,
- centralizers of Schreier reflexive relations.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Schreier internal categories (Patchkoria, 1998),
- Schreier internal groupoids,
- Schreier internal relations,
- centralizers of Schreier reflexive relations.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Schreier internal categories (Patchkoria, 1998),
- Schreier internal groupoids,
- Schreier internal relations,
- centralizers of Schreier reflexive relations.

Split extension classifier

In Mon, for any monoid M, it is shown that the monoid End(M) of endomorphisms of M has a universal property, which is analogous to the one of the automorphism group Aut(G) of a group G in Grp.

Indeed, one can construct a Schreier split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Hol(M) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} End(M) \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

with the following universal property :

Split extension classifier

In Mon, for any monoid M, it is shown that the monoid End(M) of endomorphisms of M has a universal property, which is analogous to the one of the automorphism group Aut(G) of a group G in Grp.

Indeed, one can construct a Schreier split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Hol(M) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} End(M) \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

with the following universal property :

for any Schreier split extension with kernel M in Mon



there is a unique arrow ϕ making the following diagram commute :



for any Schreier split extension with kernel M in Mon



there is a unique arrow ϕ making the following diagram commute :



(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

For this reason the monoid End(M) is called the Schreier split extension classifier of M.

The group Aut(M) is also shown to have a universal property, and it is called the homogeneous split extension classifier of M.

These concepts are then used in order to classify what the authors call special Schreier extensions with abelian kernels.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

For this reason the monoid End(M) is called the Schreier split extension classifier of M.

The group Aut(M) is also shown to have a universal property, and it is called the homogeneous split extension classifier of M.

These concepts are then used in order to classify what the authors call special Schreier extensions with abelian kernels.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

For this reason the monoid End(M) is called the Schreier split extension classifier of M.

The group Aut(M) is also shown to have a universal property, and it is called the homogeneous split extension classifier of M.

These concepts are then used in order to classify what the authors call special Schreier extensions with abelian kernels.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Outline

Introduction

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids

Semirings

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Many of the interesting results discovered by Manuela Sobral and her collaborators in Mon also have analogous versions in the category SRng of semirings.

Definition

- $(A, +, \cdot, 0)$ is a semiring if
 - ► (A, +, 0) is a commutative monoid ;
 - $\triangleright : A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an associative binary operation such that

 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

 $(a+b)\cdot c = a\cdot c + b\cdot c.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Fact : The category SRng is unital.

Many of the interesting results discovered by Manuela Sobral and her collaborators in Mon also have analogous versions in the category SRng of semirings.

Definition

- $(A, +, \cdot, 0)$ is a semiring if
 - (A, +, 0) is a commutative monoid;

• $: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an associative binary operation such that

 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

 $(a+b)\cdot c = a\cdot c + b\cdot c.$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Fact : The category SRng is unital.

Many of the interesting results discovered by Manuela Sobral and her collaborators in Mon also have analogous versions in the category SRng of semirings.

Definition

 $(A, +, \cdot, 0)$ is a semiring if

- (A, +, 0) is a commutative monoid;
- $: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an associative binary operation such that

 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

$$(a+b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Fact : The category SRng is unital.

Many of the interesting results discovered by Manuela Sobral and her collaborators in Mon also have analogous versions in the category SRng of semirings.

Definition

 $(A, +, \cdot, 0)$ is a semiring if

- (A, +, 0) is a commutative monoid;
- $: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is an associative binary operation such that

 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

$$(a+b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c.$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

Fact :

The category SRng is unital.

Definition A split epi

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

in SemiRng, with kernel $k : K \to A$, is a Schreier split epi if, for any $a \in A$, there is a unique $k \in K$ such that

$$a = k + sp(a).$$

The fibration

$SPt(SemiRng) \rightarrow SemiRng$

of Schreier pointed objects in SemiRng has some remarkable properties, analogous to the ones of the fibration

 P^S : SPt(Mon) \rightarrow Mon

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Definition A split epi

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

in SemiRng, with kernel $k : K \to A$, is a Schreier split epi if, for any $a \in A$, there is a unique $k \in K$ such that

$$a = k + sp(a).$$

The fibration

$SPt(SemiRng) \rightarrow SemiRng$

of Schreier pointed objects in SemiRng has some remarkable properties, analogous to the ones of the fibration

 P^S : SPt(Mon) \rightarrow Mon

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The results established in the semiring case give a structural meaning to the intuitive proportion :

Mon : Grp = SRng : Rng.



The results established in the semiring case give a structural meaning to the intuitive proportion :

Mon : Grp = SRng : Rng.



The book

Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings by D. Bourn, N. Martins-Ferreira, A. Montoli, and M. Sobral



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

sheds some new light on the categories Mon and SemiRng, by providing a categorical foundation to the study of monoids and semirings.

Happy Birthday Manuela!



・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・