Push forwards of crossed squares

Sandra Mantovani

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

26th January 2014¹

Sandra Mantovani

¹Joint work with L. Pizzamiglio

Push forwards of crossed squares

Crossed modules [Whitehead 48]

Definition

A crossed module consists of a group homomorphism $\partial: G_1 \to G_0$, endowed with a left action of G_0 on G_1 , satisfying:

(i)
$$\partial({}^{g}\alpha) = g \,\partial(\alpha) \,g^{-1}$$
 and (ii) $\partial^{\alpha_{1}}\alpha_{2} = \alpha_{1} \,\alpha_{2} \,\alpha_{1}^{-1}$.



Definition

A morphism between crossed modules $\partial : G_1 \to G_0$ and $\partial' : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_0$ consists of homomorphisms $\varphi : G_1 \to \Gamma_1$ and $\psi : G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ such that

(i)
$$\partial' \varphi = \psi \partial$$
 and (ii) $\varphi({}^{g}\alpha) = {}^{\psi(g)}\varphi(\alpha)$.

Crossed modules and their morphisms form a category \mathcal{CM} .

Kernels and cokernels of crossed modules

Let $\partial: G_1 \to G_0$ be a crossed module, then:

- ker ∂ is G_0 -invariant;

- there is an action of coker ∂ on the abelian group $\ker\partial$ such that the following composition



is a crossed module.

We are going to show that these properties hold, in a 2-dimensional form, provided we change the notions of kernels and cokernels by the homotopical versions.

Crossed squares [Guin-Waléry, Loday 80]

Definition

A crossed square is a commutative diagram of groups



with actions of the group Γ_0 on G_1 , Γ_1 and G_0 and a function $h: \Gamma_1 \times G_0 \to G_1$, such that the following axioms are satisfied:

(*i*) the maps p_1 , ∂ preserve the actions of Γ_0 . Furthermore, with the given actions the maps ∂' , p_0 and $\partial' p_1 = p_0 \partial$ are crossed modules;

(ii) $p_1 h(\beta, g) = \beta^{g} \beta^{-1}$, $\partial h(\beta, g) = \beta^{g} g^{-1}$; (iii) $h(p_1(\alpha), g) = \alpha^{g} \alpha^{-1}$, $h(\beta, \partial(\alpha)) = \beta^{\alpha} \alpha^{-1}$; (iv) $h(\beta_1 \beta_2, g) = \beta_1 h(\beta_2, g) h(\beta_1, g)$, $h(\beta, g_1 g_2) = h(\beta, g_1)^{g_1} h(\beta, g_2)$; (v) $h(\sigma^{\sigma} \beta, \sigma^{\sigma} g) = \sigma h(\beta, g)$; for all $\alpha \in G_1, \beta, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma_1, g, g_1, g_2 \in G_0$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma_0$. An easy example of a crossed square is given by two normal subgroups N and M of P and their intersection $N \cap M$:



In this case P acts by conjugation and the function $h: M \times N \rightarrow N \cap M$ is given by h(m, n) = [m, n].

More in general, any pullback of a crossed module along a crossed module gives an example of a crossed square.

Crossed squares and their morphisms form a category, which is equivalent to the category of **internal crossed modules in the category of crossed modules**.

This category can be described also by using the notion of **strict** categorical crossed modules, as shown in

[Carrasco, Cegarra, Garzón 2010]. This last notion was introduced in [Carrasco, Garzón, Vitale 2006] as a 2-dimensional analog of a crossed module in the category of categorical groups.

Given a crossed square



we can form the pullback of p_0 along ∂'



Sandra Mantovani Push forwards of crossed squares

(2)

Given a crossed square



we can form the pullback of p_0 along ∂'



(2)

It turns out that the induced morphism $\overline{\partial}: G_1 \to G_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1$ gives rise to a crossed module, where the action of $G_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1$ on G_1 is given by ${}^{(g,\theta)}\alpha = {}^g\alpha$.

Remark

- If $< p_1, p_0 >$ is just a morphism of crossed modules then $\overline{\partial}: G_1 \to G_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1$ is still a crossed module.
- The previous result is true also in the internal version, where < p₁, p₀ > is a morphism of crossed modules in a semi-abelian category (with Huq=Smith).
- More, if in this context we take a weak morphism, i.e. a butterfly:



Remark

- If $< p_1, p_0 >$ is just a morphism of crossed modules then $\overline{\partial}: G_1 \to G_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1$ is still a crossed module.
- The previous result is true also in the internal version, where < p₁, p₀ > is a morphism of crossed modules in a semi-abelian category (with Huq=Smith).
- More, if in this context we take a weak morphism, i.e. a butterfly:



we can still construct an homotopical kernel by taking the kernel $\ker \rho : K \to E$ of ρ and considering the induced arrow $\overline{\partial} : G_1 \to K$, which is still a crossed module in \mathbb{C} .

(日本) (日本) (日本)

If we call **G** the strict categorical group associated with $\partial : G_1 \to G_0$ and **Γ** the strict categorical group associated with $\partial' : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_0$, there is an associated strict categorical crossed module **T** : **G** \to **Γ**. The homotopical kernel ker **T** of **T** : **G** \to **Γ** of [Carrasco, Garzón, Vitale 2006] is a strict categorical group that corresponds to the crossed module

$$\overline{\partial}: {\it G}_1 \ \, \rightarrow \ \ \, {\it G}_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1.$$

We prove the following Propositions.



Remark

If $< p_1, p_0 >$ is just a morphism of crossed modules then (3) is still a crossed square.

Proposition

The outer diagram



gives rise to a crossed square.

(4)

For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



we take its "mapping cone", which is $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$: $G_1 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0$ followed by $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ given by the product $\partial'(\gamma) \cdot p_0(g)$, which is a morphism (a sort of twisted cooperator).

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



we take its "mapping cone", which is $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$: $G_1 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0$ followed by $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ given by the product $\partial'(\gamma) \cdot p_0(g)$, which is a morphism (a sort of twisted cooperator). If we take the cokernel $q : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0$ of $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$, we get

伺い イヨン イヨン

For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



we take its "mapping cone", which is $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$: $G_1 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0$ followed by $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ given by the product $\partial'(\gamma) \cdot p_0(g)$, which is a morphism (a sort of twisted cooperator). If we take the cokernel $q : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0$ of $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$, we get

向下 イヨト イヨト

For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



we take its "mapping cone", which is $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$: $G_1 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0$ followed by $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ given by the product $\partial'(\gamma) \cdot p_0(g)$, which is a morphism (a sort of twisted cooperator). If we take the cokernel $q : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0$ of $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$, we get

• a comparison morphism $d: \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0 \to \Gamma_0$

> < E > < E >

For cokernels, in the abelian context, we can work dually by using pushouts instead of pullbacks. But in a semi-abelian situation, we have to work with the push forward construction, introduced in [Noohi 2008] for groups and extended for semi-abelian categories in [Cigoli,M.,Metere]. If we start with just a morphism of crossed modules:



we take its "mapping cone", which is $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$: $G_1 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0$ followed by $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ given by the product $\partial'(\gamma) \cdot p_0(g)$, which is a morphism (a sort of twisted cooperator). If we take the cokernel $q : \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \to \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0$ of $\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle$, we get

- a comparison morphism $d: \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0 \to \Gamma_0$
- a crossed module ∂'' , which is the push forward of ∂ along p_1

But in the case of a crossed square of groups, Conduché observed that then the associated mapping cone

$$G_1 \xrightarrow{\langle -p_1, \partial \rangle} \Gamma_1 \rtimes G_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma_1$$

has a structure of a 2-crossed module and this ensures that $d: \Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0 \to \Gamma_0$ is actually a crossed module in this case.

We obtained also a direct prove, by showing that in the case of crossed squares, *d* corresponds to the quotient categorical group $\frac{\Gamma}{< G, T >}$ introduced in [Carrasco, Garzón, Vitale 2006], where $T : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the strict categorical crossed module associated with the crossed square we started with.

Using the previous result, we can then form a new diagram taking into account both the constructions of kernel and cokernel of a crossed square:

Proposition

The outer diagram



(5)

gives rise to a crossed square, where the function $\overline{h}: (\Gamma_1 \rtimes^{G_1} G_0) \times (G_0 \times_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_1)) \to G_1$ is given by

 $ar{h}((eta_1,g_1),(eta_2,g_2))=h(eta_1,g_1g_2g_1^{-1})h(eta_2,g_1)^{-1}$

, where h is in the structure of the original crossed square.

When we apply this construction to the crossed square of the intersection of 2 normal subgroups, which is already a pullback, we get:



where we can see that the squares on the right are NOT crossed squares (the function h should be given by taking commutators).

A B > A B >

Bibliografia

- M. BOROVOI, Abelian Galois cohomology of reductive groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1998), no. 626.
- K. BROWN, *Cohomology of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1994).
- R. BROWN, N. D. GILBERT, Algebraic Models of 3-Types and Automorphism Structures for Crossed Modules, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 59 (1989), 51-73.
- P. CARRASCO, A. R. GARZÓN, E. M. VITALE, On categorical crossed module, Theory and Applications of Categories 16 (2006) 585-618.
- CARRASCO, P., CEGARRA, A. M. AND GARZN, A. R. The classifying space of a categorical crossed module, Math. Nachr., 283(2101), pp. 54456
- A. CIGOLI, S. MANTOVANI, G. METERE, A Push Forward Construction and the Comprehensive Factorization for Internal Crossed Modules, Applied Categorical Structures (2013).

(신문) (신문)

Bibliografia

- D. GUIN, *Cohomologie et homologie non abéliennes des groupes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 50 (1988), pp. 109137.
- D. GUIN-WALÉRY, J. L. LODAY, Obstructions a l'excision en K-theorie algébrique, Algebraic K-theory. Evanston 1980, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 854 (Springer, Berlin, 1981) 179-216.
- A.S-T. LUE, Semicomplete crossed modules and holomorphs of groups, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 11 (1979) 8-16.
- B. NOOHI, *On weak maps between 2-groups*, Available as arXiv:math/0506313v3 (2008).
- K. NORRIE, Action and automorphisms of crossed modules, Bulletin de la société mathématique de France 118 (1990) 129-146.
- J.H.C. WHITEHEAD, On operators in relative homotopy groups, Annual of Math 49 (1948) 610-640.

・日・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・